



Contextualized Mathematics Instruction Based on Learning Styles in Improving Critical Thinking Skills of Grade 7 Students

¹Verna Jane D. Manjares & ²Allen E. Pasia

Abstract

This study was an attempt to find the effects of contextualized lesson content and instructional materials on visual and kinesthetic learners. Using an experimental research design participated by visual and kinesthetic learners, both taken as one group and separately, it revealed that respondents use contextualized lesson content and contextualized instructional materials positively. However, inferential statistics showed no significant relationship exists between the perceived use of contextualized instruction and the student's level of critical thinking skills. In addition, when taken as one group, contextualized instruction was able to develop all the critical thinking skills of the respondents, in favor of the posttest results. Comparison of the visual and kinesthetic groups also showed that contextualized instruction developed better in kinesthetic learners than in visual learners specifically in analyzing and problem-solving skills. Thus, the use of contextualized instruction is recommended in developing critical thinking skills among learners, most especially in developing analyzing and problem-solving skills in kinesthetic learners.

Keywords: *Contextualization, visual, kinesthetic, lesson content, instructional material*

Article History:

Received: May 22, 2023

Accepted: June 20, 2023

Revised: June 10, 2023

Published online: July 31, 2023

Suggested Citation:

Manjares, V.D. & Pasia, A.E. (2023). Contextualized Mathematics Instruction Based on Learning Styles in Improving Critical Thinking Skills of Grade 7 Students. *Industry and Academic Research Review*, 4 (1), 443-449.

About the authors:

¹Corresponding author. High School Teacher II, San Bartolome Integrated High School

²Research Advisor, Associate Professor III, Laguna State Polytechnic University- San Pablo City Campus

**This paper is presented at the 4th International Conference on Multidisciplinary Industry and Academic Research (ICMLAR)-2023*



© The author (s). Published by Institute of Industry and Academic Research Incorporated.

This is an open-access article published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which grants anyone to reproduce, redistribute and transform, commercially or non-commercially, with proper attribution. Read full license details here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Introduction

In the most recent Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) results, a worldwide study on the evaluation of educational systems, the Philippines got very low results. This sets the bar for all educators to be at par with other educational systems (Golla & Reyes, 2020). Mathematics is a combination of understanding and skills. Without understanding, skills are impossible to showcase. With this fact at hand, mathematics teachers now face a concern on how to better equip learners with understanding of mathematical concepts and ways to allow learners to showcase their skills (Rensaa, 2014).

As reflected in the curriculum guide from the Department of Education (DepEd), students are assessed in three components: Written Works (WW), Performance Tasks (PT) and Quarterly Assessments (QA). Understanding of mathematical concepts are exemplified through pen and paper tests by WWs and QAs, but skills must be demonstrated through PTs. The high Mean Percentage Scores (MPS) for Mathematics only demonstrates that students have high understanding of mathematical concepts but does not really equate to the fact that they are also mathematically skilled. For teachers to be able to claim that, performance tasks should be given. One of the indicators of performance readiness among learners is their level of critical thinking. These skills are used by students to understand mathematical concepts better and eventually leads to them being able to solve properly (Chukwuyenum, 2013).

Methodology

A one-group experimental pretest-posttest and descriptive study designs are used in this study. Descriptive design measures a variable against an approved scale and then quantifies it for interpretation, whereas experimental design treats variables independently and then compares the results. It also includes manipulating elements, instructional strategies, and lesson content that have recurred or have manipulative and marginally influenceable characteristics (Ongowo, 2017).

The Critical Skills Test and a survey questionnaire for learning mode inventory was used in this study. The researcher adopted a pretest – posttest control group approach in her work, which was inspired by Jeenthong et al. (2014). Two separate teaching styles was used to teach two different classes. The first group received traditional lecture training with the addition of reading materials, whereas the second group received an intervention program with the same content as the traditional program.

This study looked at the impact of applying contextualization in lesson content and instructional materials on students' Critical Thinking Skills development. The skills of the learners were assessed before and after the use of contextualized instruction and the same was compared afterwards.

The respondents of this study were 51 Grade 7 students officially enrolled for the academic year 2022–2023. The researcher administered the Learning Modality Category Test lifted from the standardized VARK Learning Modality Test to determine the class's dominant learning style.

The VARK Learning Modality Category Test and the Critical Thinking Skills Test are two of the research instruments used in the conduct of this study.

Manalo (2016) claims that learning styles reflect learners' experiences. Because people have varied learning experiences, they have a range of learning modalities. Multiple learning modalities can exist in one learner; nevertheless, it is usually considered that one should be the more developed.

VARK standardized test specifically Version 8.01 (2019) was used for profiling of the respondents. It consists of situations that are aimed at processing a student's learning style and used in a classroom environment. They just blacken the circle if they are more likely to accomplish what is suggested in each of the assertions.

Observing, analyzing, inferring, communicating, and problem solving are the five subskills of critical thinking. The researcher adopted the CTS Test of Magpantay (2022), which was evaluated by a group of mathematics professionals, including four master teachers, one head teacher, and two Mathematics Coordinators (Teacher III). There were five set of mathematical problems for pre-test and post-test, each problem has the five subskills of critical thinking. Before using the CLC and CIM to determine their first degree of CTS, pupils have to take this test. The same examination was utilized to compare the performance of the two groups after they have used the strategies.

The researcher preferred to develop new instruments in order to highlight the reliability and validity of the results, experts in the field of mathematics were invited to assess the teacher-made CTS Test. Another goal is to translate existing assessments so that the same skills are being tested and the same problem is being addressed.

Findings

Generally, the scores of the respondents in the critical thinking skills test before the use of contextualized instruction were described from fairly to satisfactory for most skills. However, after the use of the strategy, most of the scores of the respondents shifted to very satisfactory and outstanding levels. As to the perception on the use of contextualized instruction, the respondents perceive contextualized lesson as highly evident and contextualized learning materials as moderately evident. There was no significant relationship in terms of the contextualized lesson content and contextualized instructional materials and the learners' level of critical thinking skills. Significant differences were noted in terms of the level of critical thinking skills of the learners in all of the skills, in favor of the posttest scores. Comparison of the performances of the visual and kinesthetic learners also revealed that contextualized instruction developed analyzing and problem solving better in kinesthetic learners than it did with the visual ones.

Conclusion

The use of contextualized instruction was able to develop all the critical thinking skills, and was found to develop analyzing and problem-solving skills better in kinesthetic learners than in visual learners. The use of contextualized instruction was able to let learners experience a learning environment that touches their personal experiences and showcases examples which they can relate to.

References

- Abidin, M. J. Z., Rezaee, A. A., Abdullah, H. N., & Singh, K. K. B. (2011). Learning styles and overall academic achievement in a specific educational system. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 1(10).
- Adani, S., & Capanec, M. (2019). Sex differences in early communication development: Behavioral and neurobiological indicators of more vulnerable communication system development in boys. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 60(2), 141-149. t Med J. 2019 Apr; 60(2): 141–149. <https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2019.60.141>
- Aka, N. (2020). Incidental learning of a grammatical feature from reading by Japanese learners of English as a foreign language. *System*, 91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102250>
- Amborse, Valerie K. et al (2013). *A Framework of Contextualized Teaching and Learning: Assisting Developmental Education Instructors*. Retrieved, September 6, 2018.

[https://newprairiepress.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.com/
&httpsredir=1&article=2972&context=aerc](https://newprairiepress.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=2972&context=aerc)

- Belo, M. C. (2019). Contextualized instructional materials in teaching English for grade VII: An enhancement. *Ascendens Asia Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Abstracts*,
- Bergman, N., & Svensson, E. (2021). The effect of gender on English as L2 learning motivation. <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:mau:diva-39135>
- Bird, D. O. (2017). Relationship between teacher effectiveness and student achievement: An investigation of teacher quality. Ball State University. https://cardinalscholar.bsu.edu/bitstream/handle/123456789/200666/BirdD_2017-2_BODY.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- Bongancisco, R. (2016). Effects of contextualization on the reading comprehension performance of Filipino learners. *ASIA Pacific Higher Education Research Journal* 3, (1).
- Chukwuyenum A. (2013). Impact of Critical thinking on Performance in Mathematics among Senior Secondary School Students in Lagos State *IOSR J of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME)*
- Corpuz, Brenda B. and Salandanan Gloria G. (2015). *Principle of Teaching 2* (with TLE). Quezon City: Lorimar Publishing, Inc. 80
- Cubillas, T. & Cubillas, A. & Matuguina, C. (2021). Linking the extent of curriculum contextualization to the learners' level of academic achievement: Basis for a teacher-training design. 58. 4996-5006. <http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/6332>
- Daniel, J., Hunter, K. & Oakes, J. (2019). Teaching in community schools: Creating conditions for deeper learning. *Review of Research in Education*, 4, 453–480.
- Evangeslita, Marianne T. (2013). Lesson Plan. Retrieved from <https://www.slideshare.net/martianne21/lesson-plan-lesson-planning>
- Fetalino, Maria, Lavinia E. (2014). *Kindergarten Motor Development and Self-Help Activities for Small Group Times (SGTs): Basis for an Activity Guide*. (Unpublished Thesis). Lucban, Quezon: Southern Luzon State University
- Giamellaro, M. (2017). Dewey's yardstick: Contextualization as a crosscutting measure of experience in education and learning. *Sage Journals*, 7 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244017700463>

- Golla E.F & Reyes A.G (2020). PISA Mathematics Literacy Framework Vis-à-vis the Philippine K to 12 Mathematics Curriculum
- Horn, A. S., & Jang, S. T. (2017). The impact of graduate education on teacher effectiveness: Does a master's degree matter? MHEC Research Brief. Midwestern Higher Education Compact. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED587432>
- Husmann P.R & O'Loughlin (2018). Disparities among undergraduate Anatomy Students' Study Strategies, Class Performance and Reported VARK Learning Styles
- Hussien, H. (2017). The effect of using context- based learning strategy REACT on developing secondary stage students' achievement in grammar, motivation and the transfer of learning on their oral performance. *CDELT Occasional Papers in the Development of English Education*, 63(2), 57-96. <https://doi.org/10.21608/opde.2017.88015>
- Irvine, J. (2019). Relationship between teaching experience and teacher effectiveness: implications for policy decisions. *Journal of Instructional Pedagogies*, 22. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1216895>
- Mamolo, A. (2018). Perceptions of social issues as contexts for secondary mathematics. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 51, 28–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.06.007>
- Julio, Liza Carla F. (2014). Catanauan's Local Dialect: A Basis for the Development of localized Handy Dictionary. (Unpublished Thesis). Lucban, Quezon: Southern Luzon State University
- Muralidhara, D.V., Nordin, S., & Mohmad N.M.N., (2013). Learning style preferences of preclinical medical students in a Malaysian university. *South-East Asian Journal of Medical Education*, 7(10), 22-30.
- Nagar, Maria Elena D. (2014). *Enhancing Passion for Environmental Issues Through Inquiry-Based Learning*. (Unpublished Thesis). Lucban, Quezon: Southern Luzon State University
- Orozco L.R &, Pasia A.E (2021) Enhancing Student's Higher Order Thinking Skills Through Contextualization of a Sociocultural Mathematics Teaching
- Purwanto W.R, Waluya S.B, Rochmad & Wardono (2020). Analysis of Mathematics Critical Thinking Ability in Student Learning Style
- Ra, Sungsup (2018). 5 ways to make textbooks relevant in a digital world. Retrieved from <https://blogs.adb.org/blog/5-ways-make-textbooks-relevant-digital-world>

- Recio, T. (2020). The metacognition and level of Integrated Science Process Skills of Agriculture Students
- Reinke, L. & Casto, A. (2020). Motivators or conceptual foundation? Investigating the development of teachers' conceptions of contextual problems. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-020-00329-8>
- Samuel, Amadioha W. (2018). The importance of Instructional Materials in our School: An overview. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322368912_THE_IMPORTANCE_OF_INSTRUCTIONAL_MATERIALS_IN_OUR_SCHOOLS_AN_OVERVIEW
- Satriani, I., Emilia, E., & Gunawan, M. H. (2012). Contextual Teaching and Learning Approach to Teaching Writing. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 2(1) .10-22.
- Shah, C., Joshi, N., Mehta, H. B., & Gokhle, P. A. (2011). Learning styles adopted by medical students. *International Research Journal of Pharmacy*, 2(12), 227-229.
- Simic-Muller, K. & Fernandes, A. (2020). Preservice Teachers' Understanding of 'Real world': Developing a Typology. *MERGA International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, 21(1), 31–53.
- Walkington, C., Petrosino, A., & Sherman, M. (2013). Supporting algebraic reasoning through personalized story scenarios: How situational understanding mediates performance. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 15, 89–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2013.770717>
- Wijayanti, Dina N. (2013). Contextualized Teaching and Learning. Retrieved from <https://mydreamarea.wordpress.com/2013/01/06/contextual-teaching-andlearning/A> Brief History of the Idea of Critical Thinking". www.criticalthinking.org.
- Wijaya, A., van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M., & Doorman, M. (2015). Opportunity-to-learn context-based tasks provided by mathematics textbooks. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 89, 41–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-015-9595-1>
- Wyatt T. (2014). Understanding the Process of Contextualization