



# Team reading: It's effect in reading comprehension

<sup>1</sup>Joan S. Sastre & <sup>2</sup>Marianne L. Quimpo

## Abstract

This inquiry sought to determine the effectiveness of using Team Reading Approach for developing reading comprehension skills of Grade 5 learners. The subjects of the study were split into six (6) groups with two performing learners leading each group. In the course of data analysis, the results obtained from these team leaders were excluded and therefore only twenty-four (24) set of scores were analyzed. Using quantitative approach employing the pretest vs. post-test method to thirty-six (36) learners purposively selected for this study it was found that before the introduction to the team reading approach, learners demonstrated low mastery of reading comprehension skills. It was also later found after they were introduced to the approach that they demonstrated average mastery of the reading comprehension skills. The paired samples test confirmed that there was a significant difference in the reading comprehension of learners in identifying the main idea, identifying supporting details, clarifying meaning of content-based words, determining text types according to purpose and determining text types according to structure before and after they were introduced to the team reading approach. The findings of the study prompted the development of an action plan that targets the foundational reading comprehension skills identified in this study.

**Keywords:** *level of reading comprehension, team reading, intervention program, reading performance*

## Article History:

*Received:* May 17, 2024

*Accepted:* August 23, 2024

*Revised:* August 2, 2024

*Published online:* August 31, 2024

## Suggested Citation:

Sastre, J.S. & Quimpo, M.L. (2024). Team reading: It's effect in reading comprehension. *Industry and Academic Research Review*, 5(1), 50-61. <https://doi.org/10.53378/iarr.924.117>

## About the authors:

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. Master of Arts in Education Major in Educational Management, Teacher I, Northwestern Visayan Colleges. Email: [joansastre@deped.gov.ph](mailto:joansastre@deped.gov.ph)

<sup>2</sup>Doctor of Philosophy, Dean, College of Education, Northwestern Visayan Colleges.

*\*This paper is presented at the 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Multidisciplinary Industry and Academic Research (ICMLAR)-2024*



© The author (s). Published by Institute of Industry and Academic Research Incorporated.

This is an open-access article published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which grants anyone to reproduce, redistribute and transform, commercially or non-commercially, with proper attribution. Read full license details here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## Introduction

Reading comprehension should be given a priority status in the academic community because it is the backbone of learning. A formal study on the reading abilities as well as learning challenges are timely and important. These studies are essential in understanding the state of the public basic education system that has been plaguing the country's reputation in international student assessments. This matter has been confirmed in the results of Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2022 which has revealed that 15-year-old learners from the Philippines ranked sixth lowest among 85 countries that participated (OECD, 2023). This is a departure from the lowest rank that was recorded in the 2018 assessment. In order to address the gaps in learning raised by both the PISA 2018 and 2022 results, this study on team reading as an approach to improving reading comprehension of Grade 5 learners has been made.

Team Reading is a strategy to enhance the reading performance of learners. It is deeply rooted in collaborative strategic reading. It combines strategic reading combined with cooperative learning in which students work in small groups (Grabe, 2002 as cited in Maasum, 2010). A growing number of research have demonstrated that cooperation or interaction with peers can encourage the development of reading competence (Ghaith, 2003; Tok, 2008). Reading is one of the most essential factors in learning. It is also one of the four basic skills which needs to be developed in every learner. Through the deployment of team reading - a learning intervention strategy, this study hopes to contribute to the growing body of research-based evidence on developing learners' reading comprehension skills through novel and productive approaches that emphasizes the need for a targeted and multi-strategies approach that account for learner diversity.

This study aimed to address the concerns on poor reading performance of Philippine learners by inquiring on the effects of implementing the Team Reading Program for Grade 5 pupils at one of the Schools in Ibajay East District for School Year 2022-2023 to verify its effectiveness and discover ways to improve the delivery of a sustainable and developmentally appropriate reading program. The study determined the significant difference in the learners' level of reading comprehension before and after the intervention.

## Methodology

This study used descriptive quantitative method of research to discuss specific behavior as it occurs in the environment. In particular, the single group experimental design will be employed. In a one-group pre-test-post-test design, the dependent variable is measured once before the treatment is implemented and once after it is implemented. The pretest-posttest design is much like a within-subjects experiment in which each participant is tested first under the control condition and then under the treatment condition. It is unlike a within-subjects experiment, however, in that the order of conditions is not counterbalanced because it typically is not possible for a participant to be tested in the treatment condition first and then in an “untreated” control condition (Cuttler, 2017). Owing to the peculiarity of the subject selection of this research, this method was chosen because it can appropriately address the objectives of this study.

The subjects of this study were the thirty six (36) Grade 5 learners officially enrolled in the School Year 2022-2023 at one of the Schools in Ibadan East District. Due to this population size, total enumeration of the population has been used as the class is composed of eighteen (18) male learners and eighteen (18) female learners only.

The primary research instrument used in the study is Standardized test. The test is a 20-item Standardized Reading Test, test was used to evaluate student learning, skill acquisition and academic achievement prior to and at the conclusion of the study. This test need not to be re-validated because it has already been pilot tested and studied for its reliability and validity and was found to be highly reliable. The determination of the level of mastery was made by assigning a mastery level equivalent to the score obtained by the learner. As there are only four questions for each of the five skills the score interpretation of the National Achievement Test is adapted. The researcher labored to ensure privacy and confidentiality regarding the information gathered from the respondents of this study.

## Findings

Using the single group quasi-experimental approach, there are four main following findings of the study. First, the Grade 5 learners have generally low mastery of reading comprehension skills before they were introduced to the Team Reading Approach. Second, the

Grade 5 learners have average mastery of reading comprehension skills after they were introduced to the Team Reading Approach. Third, there is a very high significant difference in the learners reading abilities before and after the team reading intervention. All obtained p-values are  $<0.001$  which denotes a highly significant difference in the performance compared. The findings support a strategic intervention program such those discussed in Boudah (2013) and Hock et al. (2017). Lastly, a strategic intervention approach to addressing challenges in reading comprehension has been developed to address the concerns found in this study.

## Conclusion

Using Team Reading Approach in developing reading comprehension skills of Grade 5 learners has positively benefited the learner's reading abilities. Although, results show that learners need further instruction in reading in order to attain a closely approximating mastery level. A targeted approach employing various approaches is necessary to improve the reading comprehension skills of learners as there is no single approach that would work for a set of diverse learners.

This study recommends a better understanding of the learners in terms of their reading abilities can better understood through extended exposure to skill building activities. A more in-depth inquiry on the reading abilities of learners that go beyond the usual paper and pencil assessment is suggested to gather more evidence on why learners are failing in their reading. As the curriculum requires intensive learning recovery efforts to learning losses during the pandemic, it would be beneficial for studies of this kind to include both quantitative and qualitative data to better understand the interventions made as part of the learning recovery efforts made. A single approach to intervention design can shortchange the learners holistic learning. This study found that a single approach cannot address several skills. Thus, there is a need for a more rigorous intervention programs. Studies of this kind that employ quantitative and qualitative analyses can provide more insights to how an approach works. Studies of this kind are encouraged to explore this area.

## References

- Ahangari, S., Ghorbani, Z., & Hassanzadeh, Z. (2015). The effects of types of assessment (cloze, open-ended, true/ false) on Iranian upper intermediate male and female EFL learners' reading comprehension proficiency. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*, 5(2), 12-21.
- Ahmadi, M. R., & Gilakjani, A. P. (2012). Reciprocal teaching strategies and their impacts on English reading comprehension. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 2(10), 2053-2060.
- Ahmadi, M. R., Ismail, H. N., & Abdullah, M. K. K. (2013). The importance of metacognitive reading strategy awareness in reading comprehension. *English Language Teaching*, 6(10), 235-244.
- Al-Mansour, N., & Al-Shorman, R. (2011). The effect of teacher's storytelling aloud on the reading comprehension of Saudi elementary stage students. *Journal of King Saud University - Languages and Translation*, 23(2), 69-76.
- Alshehri, M. (2014). *Improving reading comprehension for saudi students by using the reading aloud strategy*. (Master's Thesis). <https://dspace.sunyconnect.suny.edu/handle/1951/65437>
- Antoniou, F., & Souvignier, E. (2007). Strategy instruction in reading comprehension: An intervention study for students with learning disabilities. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 5(1), 41-57.
- Berkeley, S., Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (2010). Reading comprehension instruction for students with learning disabilities, 1995—2006: A meta-analysis. *Remedial & Special Education*, 31(6), 423–436. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932509355988>
- Bintz, W.P., Pienkosky-Moran, P., Berndt, R., Ritz, E., Skilton, J., & Bircher, L.S. (2012) Using literature to teach inference across the curriculum. *Voices from the Middle*. 20(1), 16-24.
- Blair, T. R., Rupley, W. H., & Nichols, W. D. (2007). The effective teacher of reading: Considering the "what" and "how" of instruction. *Reading Teacher*, 60(5), 432 <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.60.5.3>
- Boardman, A., Vaughn, S., Buckley, P., Reutebuch, C., Roberts, G., & Klingner, J. (2016). Collaborative strategic reading for students with learning disabilities in upper elementary

- classrooms. *Exceptional Children*, 82(4), 409-427.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0014402915625067>
- Boudah, Daniel. (2013). The main idea strategy: A strategy to improve reading comprehension through inferential thinking. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 49, 148-155.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451213496160>
- Brandao, A.C.P., & Oakhill, J. (2005). How do you know this answer? Children's use of text data and general knowledge in story comprehension. *Reading and Writing*, 18(7- 9), 687-713.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-005-5600-x>
- Buchler, R. (2013). *Anxiety-reducing strategies in the classroom*. (Doctoral dissertation).  
<http://scholarworks.wmich.edu>
- Burns, P. C., & Roe, B.D. (2011). *Informal reading inventory: Preprimer to twelfth grade (8<sup>th</sup> ed.)*. Boston: Cengage Learning.
- Cain, K., & Oakhill, J. (2017). Reading comprehension difficulties: Correlates, causes, and consequences. In Cain, K., & Oakhill, J. (Eds). *Children's comprehension problems in oral and written language: A cognitive perspective* (pp.41-75). New York: Guilford Press.
- Cain, K., & Oakhill, J. (2011). Comprehension skill, inference-making ability and their relation to knowledge. *Memory & Cognition* 29(6), 850-859. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03196414>
- Calhoun, M. B. (2015). Effects of a peer-mediated phonological skill and reading comprehension program on reading skill acquisition for middle school students with reading disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 38(5), 424-433.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194050380050501>
- Calhoun, M. B., Al Otaiba, S., Greenberg, D., King, A., & Avalos, A. (2016). Improving reading skills in predominately Hispanic Title I first-grade classrooms: The promise of peer assisted learning strategies. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 21(4), 261-272.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5826.2006.00222.x>
- Carlson, Seipel, & McMaster. (2014). Development of a new reading comprehension assessment: Identifying comprehension differences among readers. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 32, 40-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2014.03.003>
- Carter, N., Bryant-Lukosius, D., DiCenso, A, Blythe, J., & Neville, A. (2014). The use of triangulation in qualitative research. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 41(5), 545-7.

- Chard, D., Vaughn, S., & Tyler, B. (2012). A synthesis of research on effective interventions for building reading fluency with elementary students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 35*(5), 386-406. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194020350050101>
- Chatman, T. (2015). *Effective comprehension instructional strategies for improving the reading levels of students with learning disabilities*. (Doctoral Dissertation). <http://www.proquest.com>.
- Chou, P. T. M. (2011). The effects of vocabulary knowledge and background knowledge on reading comprehension of Taiwanese EFL students. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching, 8*(1).
- Clarke, P., Truelove, E., Hulme, C., & Snowling, M. (2013). *Developing reading comprehension*. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Clemens, N., & Simmons, D. (2014). *Examining the role of vocabulary knowledge in struggling comprehenders*. Paper presented at the meeting of The Council Exceptional Children, Philadelphia, PA.
- Crabtree, Tim, Alber-Morgan, Sheila R., & Konrad, Moira. (2010). The effects of self monitoring of story elements on the reading comprehension of high school seniors with learning disabilities. *Education and Treatment of Children, 33*(2), 187-203.
- Crowe, L. K. (2015). Comparison of two oral reading feedback strategies in improving reading comprehension with low reading ability. *Remedial and Special Education, 26*(1), 32-42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07419325050260010501>
- Dexter, D. D., & Hughes, C. A. (2011). Graphic organizers and students with learning disabilities: A meta-analysis. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 34*, 51-72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073194871103400104>
- DiCecco, V. M., & Gleason, M. M. (2012). Using graphic organizers to attain relational knowledge from expository text. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 35*, 306-320. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194020350040201>
- Dickens, R. H. (2016). *Examining the effects of reading modality and passage genre on reading comprehension in middle school students*. (Doctoral Dissertation). <https://umwa.memphis.edu/etd/>
- Eskey, D. E. (2015). Reading in a second language. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), *Handbook on Second Language Learning and Teaching* (pp. 563-579). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Falk, B., & Blumenreich, M. (2015). *The power of questions: A guide to teacher and student research*. Portsmouth, N.H.: Heinemann.
- Foorman, B. R., Breier, J. I., & Fletcher, J. M. (2013). Interventions aimed at improving reading success: An evidence-based approach. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 24(3), 613-639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2003.9651913>
- Ford, M. P., & Optiz, M. F. (2018). A national survey of guided reading practices: What we can learn from primary teachers. *Literary Research and Instruction*, 47, 309-337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388070802332895>
- Ford, M., & Opitz, M. (2018). Guided reading: Then and now. In M. J. Fresch (Ed.), *An essential history of current reading practices* (pp. 66-81). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S., Thompson, A., Al-Otaiba, S., Yen, L., Yang, N. J., & O'Connor, R. E. (2012). Exploring the importance of reading programs for kindergarteners with disabilities in mainstreamed classrooms. *Exceptional Children*, 68, 295-310.
- Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L., & Burish, P. (2010). Peer-assisted learning strategies: An evidence-based practice to promote reading achievement. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 15(2), 85-91.
- Gebhardt, S. (2013). *A Pilot Validation of an Academic Rating Scale of Comprehension*, (Doctoral Dissertations). <http://preserve.lehigh.edu/etd/>
- Gellert, A. S., & Elbro, C. (2013). Do experimental measures of word learning predict vocabulary development over time? A study of children from grade 3 to 4. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 26, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2013.04.006>
- Gooden, S. (2012). Comprehension strategies teachers use when they read. *Journal of Reading Education*, 37(2), 16-20.
- Graham, L., & Bellert, A. (2005). Reading comprehension difficulties experienced by students with learning disabilities. *Australian Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 10(2), 71-78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19404150509546791>
- Grünke, M., Wilbert, J., & Stegemann, K. (2013). Analyzing the effects of story mapping on the reading comprehension of children with low intellectual abilities. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 11(2), 51-64.



- Guo, Y., Sawyer, B., Justice, L., & Kaderavek, J. (2013). Quality of the literacy environment in inclusive early childhood special education classrooms. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 35(1), 40–60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105381511350034>
- Hagaman, J. L., Casey, K. J., & Reid, R. (2012). The effects of paraphrasing strategy on the reading comprehension of young students. *Remedial and Special Education*, 20, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932510364548>
- Hall, C., & Barnes, M. (2017). Inference instruction to support reading comprehension for elementary students with learning disabilities. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 52(5), 279-286. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451216676799>
- Hoeh, E. (2015). *Mapping a path to understanding: Supporting the comprehension of narrative text for students with learning disabilities and typically developing peers*. (Doctoral Dissertation). <http://www.proquest.com>
- Hock, M. F., Bulgren, J. A., & Brasseur-Hock, I. F. (2017). The strategic instruction model: The less addressed aspects of effective instruction for high school students with learning disabilities. *learning disabilities research & practice*, 32(3), 166-179. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ldrp.12139>
- Hollenbeck, A. (2011). Instructional makeover: Supporting the reading comprehension of students with learning disabilities in a discussion-based format. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 46(4), 211-220. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451210389035>
- Hudson, R. F., Lane, H. B., & Pullen, P. C. (2005). Reading fluency assessment and instruction: What, why, and how? *Reading Teacher*, 58(8), 702-714. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.58.8.1>
- Jiménez-Fernández, G. (2015). Detective questions: A strategy for improving inference-making in children with mild disabilities. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 51(1), 45-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451215577477>
- Jitendra, A., & Gajria, M. (2011). Reading comprehension instruction for students with learning disabilities. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 43(8), 1-16.
- Jones, V. (2012). *Comprehension questioning small group reading instruction for urban students with learning disabilities*. (Doctoral Dissertation). <http://indigo.uic.edu/handle/10027/8765>.
- Keene, E. O., & Zimmermann, S. (2007). *Mosaic of thought: The power of comprehension strategy instruction*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

- Kendeou, P., McMaster, K. L., & Christ, T. J. (2016). Reading comprehension: Core components and processes. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 3(1), 62-69. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732215624707>
- Kim, A., Vaughn, S., Klingner, J. K., Woodruff, A. L., Reutebuch, C. K., & Kouzekanani, K. (2016). Improving the reading comprehension of middle school students with disabilities through computer-assisted collaborative strategic reading. *Remedial and Special Education*, 27(4), 235–249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07419325060270040401>
- Kim, W., Linan-Thompson, S., & Misquitta, R. (2012). Critical factors in reading comprehension instruction for students with learning disabilities: A research synthesis. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 27(2), 66-78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5826.2012.00352.x>
- Kırmızı, F. (2011). The relationship between reading comprehension strategies and reading attitudes. *Education 3-13*, 39(3), 289-303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004270903514320>
- Klingner, J., Vaughn, S., & Boardman, A. (2014). *Teaching reading comprehension to students with learning difficulties, 2/E*. New York: Guilford Publications.
- Lenhard, W., Baier, H., Endlich, D., Schneider, W. & Hoffman, J. (2013). Rethinking strategy instruction: Direct reading strategy instruction versus computer-based guided practice. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 36(2), 223-240. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.2011.01505.x>
- Lerner, J. & Johns, B. (2012). *Learning disabilities and related mild disabilities 12th edition*. Houghton Mifflin: Boston.
- Marhaeni, A. A. (2016). Rosenblatt's transactional theory and its implementation in the teaching of integrated reading. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.17977/jip.v5i4.1052>
- Mastropieri, M. A., Scruggs, T., Mohler, L., Beranek, M., Spencer, V., Boon, R. T., & Talbott, E. (2011). Can middle school students with serious reading difficulties help each other and learn anything? *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 16(1), 18-27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0938-8982.00003>
- Mercer, C. D., Mercer, A. R., & Pullen, P.C. (2011). *Teaching students with learning problems* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- McMaster, K. M., Fuchs, D., & Fuchs, L. S. (2016). Research on peer-assisted learning strategies: The promise and limitations of peer-mediated instruction. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 22(1), 5-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573560500203491>

- McMaster, K. L., Fuchs, D., & Fuchs, L. S. (2017). Promises and limitations of peer-assisted learning strategies in reading. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 5(2), 97-112.
- Nilsson, N. (2013). The reliability of informal reading inventories: What has changed? *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 29(3), 208-230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573569.2013.789779>
- Pang, Y. (2013). Graphic organizers and other visual strategies to improve young ELLS' reading comprehension. *New England Reading Association Journal*, 48(2), 52-58.
- Qian, D.D. (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading performance: An assessment perspective. *Language Learning*, 52, 513–536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.00193>
- Rafdal, B. H., McMaster, K. L., Mcconnell, Scott R, Fuchs, D., & Fuchs, L. S. (2011). The effectiveness of kindergarten peer-assisted learning strategies for students with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 77(3), 299-316.
- Ricketts, J., Nation, K. & Bishop, D. (2017). Vocabulary is important for some, but not all reading skills. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 11(3), 235–257.
- Roberts, G., Good, R., & Corcoran, S. (2015). Story retell: A fluency-based indicator of reading comprehension. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 20(3), 304–317. <https://doi.org/10.1521/scpq.2005.20.3.304>
- Rouse, C., Alber-Morgan, S., Cullen, J., & Sawyer, M. (2014). Using prompt fading to teach self-questioning to fifth graders with LD: Effects on reading comprehension. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 29(3), 117-125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ldrp.12036>
- Ruiz, Y. (2015). *Improving reading comprehension through the use of interactive reading strategies: A quantitative study*. (Doctoral Dissertation). <http://www.proquest.com>
- Sáenz, L. M., Fuchs, L. S., & Fuchs, D. (2015). Peer-assisted learning strategies for English language learners with learning disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 71(3), 231-247.
- Sam D., P., & Rajan, P. (2013). Using graphic organizers to improve reading comprehension skills for the middle school ESL students. *English Language Teaching*, 6(2), 155-170. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v6n2p155>
- Serafini, F. (2010). *Classroom reading assessments: More efficient ways to view and evaluate your readers*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann
- Shanahan, T., Callison, K., Carriere, C., Duke, N. K., Pearson, D. P., Schatschneider, C., & Torgesen, J. (2010). *Improving reading comprehension in kindergarten through 3<sup>rd</sup> grade:*

- IES practice guide*. U.S. Department of Education. What Works Clearinghouse. Princeton, NJ. <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/14>
- Stetter, M., & Hughes, M. (2010). Using story grammar to assist students with learning disabilities and reading difficulties improve their comprehension. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 33(1), 115-151.
- Sundheim, C. (2015). *Teachers' familiarity with and use of reading comprehension strategies for students with a learning disability* (Master's Thesis). <http://www.proquest.com>
- Taylor, L., Alber, K., & Walker, S. (2002). The comparative effects of a modified self-questioning strategy and story mapping on the reading comprehension of elementary students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, 11(2), 69-87. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015409508939>
- Taylor, S. (2011). The pragmatist reader: Reading as a meaning-making transaction in the English classroom. *Changing English*, 18(2), 151-160. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1358684X.2011.575247>
- Therrien, W. J., Gormley, S., & Kubina, R. M. (2016). Boosting fluency and comprehension to improve reading achievement. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 8(3), 22-26.
- Watson, M. (2015). *The effectiveness of peer-assisted learning strategies for teaching English reading skills to Hispanic English Language learners*. Masters Theses. 2107. <https://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/2107>